

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/708,924		04/01/2004	Thomas G. Steketee	2923 EXAMINER	
33563	7590	09/07/2005			
THOMAS		· 	PRINCE, FRED G		
7095 HOLL LOS ANGE		•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•			1724	
		•		DATE MAILED: 09/07/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	10/708,924	STEKETEE, THOMAS	3 G.
Omec Action Gammary	Examiner	Art Unit	
The MAN INC DATE And	Fred Prince	1724	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cove	sheet with the correspondence addre	ss
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS CO 136(a). In no event, how will apply and will expire e, cause the application t	OMMUNICATION. Ever, may a reply be timely filed SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status		·	
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 A</u>	April 2004.		
<u></u>	s action is non-fin	al.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowed			erits is
closed in accordance with the practice under			
Disposition of Claims	•	,	
·			
4) Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		otion	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	iwn from consider	auon.	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 7</u> is/are rejected.	•		•
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-6 and 8-10</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or alastian require	· ·	
ordinings are subject to restriction and	or election require	ment.	
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) ob	ected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E			
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	2 priority updor 35	11 S.C. S. 110(a) (d) or (f)	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	i priority under 33	0.5.C. 9 119(a)-(d) of (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	te have been reed	ivad	
2. Certified copies of the priority document			•
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			
application from the International Burea	•		ige
		• • •	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	cocure certified co	ples not received.	
attachment(s)	•		
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Interview Summary (PTO-413)	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date) 5) ∐ 6) ☐	Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-15 Other:	Z)
Patent and Trademark Office			
OL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Office A	ction Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail [)ate 0805

Application/Control Number: 10/708,924

Art Unit: 1724

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Interpretation

1. In claim 1 it is noted that applicant recites "without the need for any filter materials or water pump" in lines 9-10. It is not clear to the examiner if applicant is limiting the scope to a) a terminus that does not include a filter or b) a terminus that does not need filter material to assist in imploding bubbles. In the absence of clarification from applicant, the limitation is interpreted as meaning a terminus that does not need filter material to assist in imploding bubbles.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Margolis (US Pat No 4,385,989).

Margolis teaches an anti-spray, spray containment terminus (42) for an aquarium airlift tube (14) in which upward water flow is created by the combination of a tube (18,19) and the natural buoyancy of aeration bubbles that performs the dual purpose of preventing the escape of aeration bubbles from the airlift tube and anti-spray terminus assembly, and a means (56) for preventing the spray of fluids resulting from the

Page 2

Art Unit: 1724

implosion of the contained aeration bubbles from escaping from the said anti-spray terminus, without relying on the filter material for imploding bubbles.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rendell (US Pat No 6,634,034).

Rendell discloses a height adjustment device that consists of a cylindrical tube (16) that is threaded, and which subsequently screws onto a short cylindrical tube (14) that has been threaded with matching male or female threads that has been fit onto a tube (C). Rendell does not disclose that the cylindrical tube is compression fit onto an airlift tube.

It is submitted that it is well within the purview of the skilled artisan to utilize a compression fit to an airlift tube in order to, for example, avoid having to use adhesive material or releasably secure a tube (see, for example, US Pat No 4,385,989 to Margolis). Accordingly, it would have been readily obvious for the skilled artisan to have modified the height adjustment device of Rendell such that an airlift tube is connected to the cylindrical tube via a compression fit in order to, for example, avoid the use of adhesives or releasably secure a tube, as known in the art.

Art Unit: 1724

The recitation that the height adjustment device is "for use with any standard airlift tube terminus" is considered a recitation of intended use that is not given patentable weight in the claim since the recitation is not recited in the body of the claim and fails to breathe life and meaning into the claim.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 2-6 and 8-10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Per claim 2, while claim 1 is not patentable for the reasons provided above, in the examiner's opinion, the prior art fails to teach or fairly suggest an anti-spray terminus having the positioning and operational elements recited in the combination claim 1 and claim 2. The instant invention provides the advantages disclosed by applicant in paragraphs [0017] and [0018] in the instant specification.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. References are cited of interest to show the state of the art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fred Prince whose telephone number is (571) 272-

Art Unit: 1724

1165. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 6:30-4:00; alt.

Fridays 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on (571) 272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Fred Prince
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1724

fgp 8/31/05